arXiv:math/0209180v1 [math.QA] 15 Sep 2002

LMU-TPW 2002-07
MPI-ThP/2002-43

Covariant Realization of Quantum Spaces as Star Products by Drinfeld Twists

Christian Blohmann

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Sektion Physik
Lehrstuhl Prof. Wess, Theresienstr. 37, D-80333 München

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 München

Abstract

Covariance of a quantum space with respect to a quantum enveloping algebra ties the deformation of the multiplication of the space algebra to the deformation of the coproduct of the enveloping algebra. Since the deformation of the coproduct is governed by a Drinfeld twist, the same twist naturally defines a covariant star product on the commutative space. However, this product is in general not associative and does not yield the quantum space. It is shown that there are certain Drinfeld twists which realize the associative product of the quantum plane, quantum Euclidean 4-space, and quantum Minkowski space. These twists are unique up to a central 2-coboundary. The appropriate formal deformation of real structures of the quantum spaces is also expressed by these twists.

1 Introduction

Noncommutative geometries are described by replacing the commutative algebra of functions on an ordinary space with a noncommutative algebra. The noncommutativity is controlled by a perturbation parameter, if it is a small deviation from ordinary geometry. The algebraic aspects of such a perturbation can be detached from questions of convergence and continuity by considering formal power series. A noncommutative geometry is then described as a formal deformation of a commutative algebra [1] or by a star product [2]. Such a description has attracted a lot of attention lately, due to its application to string theory [3] and to the construction of gauge theories on noncommutative spaces [4].

The description of physical spacetime by an algebra alone would not distinguish Minkowski space from, say, Euclidean 4-space, which differs by the symmetry that acts on it. Deforming a space algebra which transforms covariantly under a symmetry Lie group, will in general break the symmetry. But there are deformations, where the symmetry structure can be deformed together with the space, so that covariance is preserved. Quantum spaces [5, 6, 7] are such a class of deformations, carrying a covariant representation of the Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation [8, 9] of the enveloping symmetry algebra.

The deformation of an enveloping algebra into a Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra is well understood: As algebra over the ring of formal power series the deformed algebra is isomorphic to the undeformed one. In fact, if the Lie algebra is semisimple, it can be shown by cohomological arguments that the enveloping algebra cannot be deformed at all [1]. It is only the Hopf structure which is truly deformed. The deformed and the undeformed coproduct are non isomorphic but related by inner automorphisms, called Drinfeld twists [10]. Preserving covariance ties the deformation of the enveloping algebra closely to the deformation of the space algebra. Therefore, one ought to be able to use the knowledge about the deformation of the symmetry in order to deform the space algebra accordingly. Such an approach was suggested in [11], where a Drinfeld twist was used to realize a quantum space as star product on the undeformed space algebra (for a similar approach see [12]). By construction, such a star product is covariant with respect to the action of the Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra. However, the star product is in general not associative. The main question of this article is: Is there a Drinfeld twist which implements the associative product of a given quantum space? We will give a positive answer for three particularly interesting cases: the quantum plane, quantum Euclidean 4-space, and quantum Minkowski space.

In Sec. 2 we review quantum spaces as covariant deformations and relate them to star products defined by Drinfeld twists [11]. We recall the definition and properties of Drinfeld twists in the framework of formal algebraic deformations. Real structures of quantum spaces and of the according quantum algebras are taken into account: Gerstenhaber's rigidity theorem for algebras [1] is extended to $*$-algebras (Prop. 1) and the deformation of space algebras is extended to real structures (Prop. 4). In Sec. 3 we propose a general approach which reduces the algebraic problem of finding a twist which implements the multiplication of a quantum space to a representation theoretic problem. This works well for cases where the representation theory of the symmetry quantum algebra is well understood: We determine the basis which reduces the quantum plane, quantum Euclidean 4-space, and quantum Minkowski space as module into its irreducible highest weight subrepresentations and calculate the multiplication map with respect to this basis. Comparing the multiplication maps with the representations of the twists leads to the main result: There are Drinfeld twists which realize the quantum plane (Prop. 8), quantum Euclidean 4-space (Prop. 9), and quantum Minkowski space (Prop. 10) as covariant star products. These twists are unique up to a central 2-coboundary.

Throughout this article we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra, denoting its enveloping algebra by $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. An element $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is called $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant if it commutes with the n-fold coproduct $\Delta^{(n)}(g) := g \otimes 1^{\otimes n} + 1 \otimes g \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-1)} + \ldots + 1^{\otimes n} \otimes g$ of all $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. The formal perturbation parameter is $\hbar$, the completion of a complex vector space or algebra A with respect to the $\hbar$-adic topology is $A[[\hbar]]$. The topological tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ of two free $\hbar$-adic vector spaces or algebras is avoided by identifying $A[[\hbar]]\hat{\otimes}A'[[\hbar]] \equiv (A \otimes A')[[\hbar]]$. The $\hbar$-adic Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation [8, 9] of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$. The equality of two elements $a, a' \in A[[\hbar]]$ modulo $\hbar^n$ will be written in Landau notation as $a = a' + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^n)$. Recall that if $a = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ then a is invertible and its square root with $\sqrt{a} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ is defined and unique in $A[[\hbar]]$ (see e.g. [13]). The symmetric $\hbar$-adic quantum number is defined by $[n] := (\mathrm{e}^{\hbar n} - \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar n})(\mathrm{e}^{\hbar} - \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar})^{-1}$ and for natural n the quantum factorial by $[n]! := [1] \cdot [2] \cdots [n]$.

2 Quantum Spaces and Drinfeld Twists

2.1 Quantum Spaces

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of the symmetry group of a space and $\mathcal{X}$ be the function algebra of this space. The elements $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra act on $\mathcal{X}$ as derivations, $g \triangleright xy = (g \triangleright x)y + x(g \triangleright y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. A generalized way of writing this is

$$g \triangleright xy = (g_{(1)} \triangleright x)(g_{(2)} \triangleright y) \tag{1}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, where we introduce the coproduct of an enveloping algebra by $g_{(1)} \otimes g_{(2)} \equiv \Delta(g) := g \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g$ on the generators $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and extend it to a homomorphism $\Delta : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on the enveloping algebra.

Using the multiplication map $\mu : \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$, $\mu(x \otimes y) := xy$ of $\mathcal{X}$ we can write (1) as

$$g \triangleright \mu(x \otimes y) = \mu(\Delta(g) \triangleright [x \otimes y]), \tag{2}$$

the condition for the product μ to be covariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, which is meaningful not only for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ but for any Hopf algebra. In mathematical terminology, an algebra $\mathcal{X}$ which carries a representation of some Hopf algebra H such that Eq. (1) holds is called an H-module algebra. We will also call it an H-covariant space. The covariant spaces or module algebras of the quantum enveloping algebras $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ are called quantum spaces.

As algebra, $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ for semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$ is not a true deformation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, because $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ are isomorphic as algebras (see Sec. 2.3). This means that every $\hbar$-adic space algebra $\mathcal{X}$ which is a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$-module is also a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$-module and vice versa. In other words, if we considered only the algebra structure of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, then there would be no need to replace a commutative space with a noncommutative one when passing from the symmetry algebra to its quantum deformation.

It is the Hopf structure which is deformed in an essential way. That is, identifying $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ as isomorphic algebras, we can view the quantum deformation as deformation of the Hopf structure of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, $\Delta \to \Delta_\hbar$, $\varepsilon \to \varepsilon_\hbar$, $S \to S_\hbar$. Since the covariance condition (1) ties the algebra structure of a space $\mathcal{X}$ to the coproduct, the multiplication map of the space must be deformed, $\mu \to \mu_\hbar$, along with the deformation of the coproduct, $\Delta \to \Delta_\hbar$, if the covariance is to be preserved. Conversely, deforming the multiplication map of a covariant space, the coproduct of the symmetry algebra must be deformed accordingly,

$$g \triangleright xy = (g_{(1)} \triangleright x)(g_{(2)} \triangleright y) \xrightarrow[\Delta \to \Delta_\hbar]{\mu \to \mu_\hbar} g \triangleright (x \star y) = (g_{(1_\hbar)} \triangleright x) \star (g_{(2_\hbar)} \triangleright y)\,, \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta_\hbar(g) = g_{(1_\hbar)} \otimes g_{(2_\hbar)}$ and $\mu_\hbar(x \otimes y) = x \star y$. While there may be a large class of deformations which are covariant in this sense, we will restrict our attention to quantum spaces.

2.2 Star Products by Drinfeld Twists

In the case of quantum spaces, the deformed coproduct belongs to the Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \cong (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_\hbar, \varepsilon_\hbar, S_\hbar)$. Drinfeld has observed (Theorem 1) that $\Delta_\hbar$ is related to the undeformed coproduct Δ by an inner automorphism. That is, there is an invertible element $\mathcal{F} \in (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))[[\hbar]]$ with $\mathcal{F} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$, called Drinfeld twist, such that

$$\Delta_\hbar(g) := \mathcal{F}\Delta(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\,. \tag{4}$$

Comparing the covariance condition of the deformed multiplication,

$$g \triangleright \mu_\hbar(x \otimes y) = \mu_\hbar(\Delta_\hbar(g) \triangleright [x \otimes y]) = \mu_\hbar(\mathcal{F}\Delta(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright [x \otimes y]) \tag{5}$$

with the covariance property (2) of the undeformed product, we see that Eq. (5) is naturally satisfied if we define the deformed product by [11]

$$\mu_\hbar(x \otimes y) := \mu(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright [x \otimes y]) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x \star y := (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright x)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright y)\,, \tag{6}$$

where we suppress in a Sweedler like notation the summation of $\sum_i \mathcal{F}_{1i} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2i} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{[1]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{[2]}$. Since the elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ act on the undeformed space algebra $\mathcal{X}$ as derivations, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ acts as $\hbar$-adic differential operator on $\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}$. Hence, writing out the $\hbar$-adic sum of $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = 1 + \sum_k \hbar^k \mathcal{F}^{-1}_k$ we can define the bidifferential operators

$$B_k(x \otimes y) := \mu(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_k \triangleright [x \otimes y]) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{k[1]} \triangleright x)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{k[2]} \triangleright y)\,, \tag{7}$$

such that the star product (6) can be written in the more familiar form

$$x \star y := xy + \hbar B_1(x, y) + \hbar^2 B_2(x, y) + \ldots \tag{8}$$

Even though the twist $\mathcal{F}$ yields by Eq. (4) a coassociative coproduct, Eq. (6) will in general not define an associative product. The associativity condition for $\mu_\hbar$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}(x \star y) \star z &= (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1](1)}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1']} \triangleright x)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1](2)}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2']} \triangleright y)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright z) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright x)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2](1)}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1']} \triangleright y)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2](2)}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2']} \triangleright z) = x \star (y \star z)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Defining the Drinfeld coassociator

$$\Phi := (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}^{-1})\,(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \otimes 1)\,(1 \otimes \mathcal{F})\,(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F})\,, \tag{10}$$

the associativity condition (9) can be written as

$$(\Phi_{[1]} \triangleright x)(\Phi_{[2]} \triangleright y)(\Phi_{[3]} \triangleright z) = xyz\,. \tag{11}$$

The obvious question to ask is: For a given $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$-covariant quantum space, is there a Drinfeld twist $\mathcal{F}$ which yields by Eq. (6) the associative product of the quantum space? We do not attempt to answer this question in its generality. Instead, we will consider some prototypical and physically important cases: the quantum plane, quantum Euclidean 4-space, and quantum Minkowski space.

2.3 Drinfeld Twists of Quantum Enveloping Algebras

For the reader's convenience we gather in this section some well known results on formal deformations of algebras and Hopf algebras, essentially due to Gerstenhaber [1] and Drinfeld [14, 10].

An $\hbar$-adic algebra A' is called a deformation of an algebra A if $A'/\hbar A'$ and A are isomorphic as algebras. Analogously, an $\hbar$-adic Hopf algebra H' is called a deformation of a Hopf algebra H if $H'/\hbar H'$ and H are isomorphic as Hopf algebras. Recall that $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra with the canonical Lie Hopf structure defined on the generators $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ as coproduct $\Delta(g) = g \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g$, counit $\varepsilon(g) = 0$, and antipode $S(g) = -g$. The Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ is a deformation of this Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. This can be seen by developing the commutation relations and the Hopf structure of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ as formal power series in $\hbar$ and keeping only the zeroth order terms, which yields the commutation relations and the Lie Hopf structure of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Gerstenhaber has shown [1] that whenever the second Hochschild cohomology of A with coefficients in A is zero, $H^2(A, A) = 0$, then all deformations of A are trivial up to isomorphism. That is, any deformation A' of A is isomorphic to the $\hbar$-adic completion of the undeformed algebra, $A' \cong A[[\hbar]]$. Algebras with this property are called rigid. The second Whitehead lemma states that the second Lie algebra cohomology of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and, hence, the second Hochschild cohomology of its enveloping algebra is zero. Therefore, the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is rigid. In particular, there is an isomorphism of algebras $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, by which the the Hopf structure Δ', ε', S' of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ can be transfered to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$,

$$\Delta_\hbar := (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta' \circ \alpha^{-1}\,, \quad \varepsilon_\hbar := \varepsilon' \circ \alpha^{-1}\,, \quad S_\hbar := \alpha \circ S' \circ \alpha^{-1}\,, \tag{12}$$

such that α becomes an isomorphism of Hopf algebras from $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ with this deformed Hopf structure. Let α' be another such isomorphism and $\Delta'_\hbar$, $\varepsilon'_\hbar$, $S'_\hbar$ be defined as in Eq. (12) with α' instead of α. Then α' is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras from $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ with the primed Hopf structure,

$$(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_\hbar, \varepsilon_\hbar, S_\hbar) \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\alpha'} (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta'_\hbar, \varepsilon'_\hbar, S'_\hbar)\,, \tag{13}$$

hence, $\alpha' \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. We conclude that, while the Hopf structure Eq. (12) may depend on the isomorphism α, it is unique up to an isomorphism of Hopf algebras.

As a consequence of the first Whitehead lemma, the first Hochschild cohomology of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of a semisimple Lie algebra is zero. This implies, that the two homomorphisms Δ and $\Delta_\hbar$ from $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ to $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))[[\hbar]]$ with $\Delta_\hbar = \Delta + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ are related by an inner automorphism, as it was observed by Drinfeld [14, 10].

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra, and let $\Delta_\hbar$ be defined as in Eq.* (12)*. Then there is an invertible element $\mathcal{F} \in \big(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\big)[[\hbar]]$ such that $\Delta_\hbar(g) = \mathcal{F}\Delta(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, which is called a Drinfeld twist from Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$.*

On the first sight Theorem 1 only relates the coproducts. It turns out that the twist of the coproduct relates counit and antipode, as well.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Drinfeld twist from Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$ as in Theorem 1.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{F}'$ is another Drinfeld twist, then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'$ is invertible and $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant. Conversely, let $\mathcal{T} \in \big(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\big)[[\hbar]]$ be invertible and $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant. Then $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$ is a Drinfeld twist.*

(ii) $\varepsilon_\hbar = \varepsilon$

(iii) *There is a twist $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}_{[2]} = 1 = \mathcal{F}_{[1]}\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[2]})$, which implies $\mathcal{F} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$. Twists with this property are called counital.*

(iv) *The two elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ defined as*

$$\sigma_1^{-1} := S(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}\,, \qquad \sigma_2 := \mathcal{F}_{[1]}S(\mathcal{F}_{[2]}) \tag{14}$$

are invertible, $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 = \sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}$ is central in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, and $\sigma_1 S(g)\sigma_1^{-1} = S_\hbar(g) = \sigma_2 S(g)\sigma_2^{-1}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$.

(v) *The coassociator Φ defined as in Eq.* (10) *is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant.*

(vi) *The deformed Hopf structure on* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ *is isomorphic to the undeformed one if and only if there is a Drinfeld twist of the form* $\mathcal{F} = (u \otimes u)\Delta u^{-1}$ *for some invertible* $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$.

A proof can be found in the appendix. The multiplication of a twist with a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant element as in (i) is sometimes called a gauge transformation of the twist. Counitality (iii) is often part of the definition of twists. Therefore, we will assume from now on that all Drinfeld twists are counital. It can be shown that there are twists for which the elements σ_1 and σ_2 of (iv) are equal [15]. However, this is not the case for all twists. For example, assume that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ for some twist $\mathcal{F}$, and assume that there is a cubic Casimir $c \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $S(c) = -c$. Then $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}[1 \otimes (1 + \hbar c)]$ is another Drinfeld twist for which $\sigma_1'^{-1}\sigma_2' = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2(1+\hbar c)^{-1}S(1+\hbar c) = (1+\hbar c)^{-1}(1-\hbar c) \neq 1$. Part (vi) of the corollary applies also to the case where Eq. (12) defines for two different isomorphism α and α' two different coproducts $\Delta_\hbar$ and $\Delta'_\hbar$. Here, the automorphism which relates the two coproducts is $\beta := \alpha'\alpha^{-1}$. Note that elements of the form $(u \otimes u)\Delta u^{-1}$ are 2-coboundaries in the sense of [16]. Since Δ is cocommutative, 2-coboundaries are symmetric. Hence, the twist (4) of Δ by a coboundary yields a cocommutative coproduct. The coproduct of the Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation is not cocommutative, so it cannot be isomorphic to the undeformed, cocommutative coproduct.

2.4 Real Forms of Enveloping Algebras

Lie groups are usually viewed as real manifolds, even though they may be naturally defined as complex matrix groups. For example, the universal covering of the Lorentz group $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is viewed as real 6-parameter Lie group, the generators being the three rotations and the three boosts. When considering the complexification $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}$ of a real Lie algebra, we have to keep in mind that non-isomorphic real Lie algebras can have the same complexification. For example su_2 and $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ have the same complexification A_1. A practical method to remember the real Lie algebra which a complexification comes from is to observe that for any real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ there is an antihomomorphism $*$ defined as $g^* := -g$ for all $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, which can be extended to a conjugate linear antihomomorphism on the complexification by $(\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} g)^* := \overline{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} g^*$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. This defines a $*$-structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, that is, a conjugate linear antihomomorphism, which is an involution, $*^2 = \mathrm{id}$. Given the $*$-structure we can reconstruct the real Lie algebra as being generated by all elements of the form $(g - g^*) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The identification of real forms of a complex Lie algebra with $*$-structures can be extended to the enveloping Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. In general, a $*$-structure on a Hopf algebra is a conjugate linear antihomomorphism $*$, which is an involution and a bialgebra homomorphism, $\Delta(g^*) = (\Delta g)^{*\otimes *}$. Algebras and Hopf algebras with such a $*$-structure are called $*$-algebras and Hopf $*$-algebras, respectively. Finally, if H is a Hopf $*$-algebra and $\mathcal{X}$ an H-module algebra with a $*$-structure, such that in addition to Eq. (1) we have

$$(g \triangleright x)^* = (Sg)^* \triangleright x^* \tag{15}$$

for all $g \in H$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{X}$ is called an H-module $*$-algebra, or a real H-covariant space.

Analogously as for algebras, an $\hbar$-adic $*$-algebra A' is called a deformation of a $*$-algebra A if $A'/\hbar A'$ and A are isomorphic as $*$-algebras. A is called rigid as $*$-algebra if for any deformation A' of A the $\hbar$-adic completion $A[[\hbar]]$ and A' are isomorphic as $*$-algebras.

Proposition 1. *Let A be a $*$-algebra with zero first and second Hochschild cohomology, $H^1(A, A) = H^2(A, A) = 0$. Then A is rigid as $*$-algebra.*

Proof. Let $(A', *')$ be a deformation of $(A, *)$ as $*$-algebra. $H^2(A, A) = 0$ implies that A is rigid as algebra, so there is an isomorphism of algebras $\beta : A' \to A[[\hbar]]$. Define $*_\beta := \beta \circ *' \circ \beta^{-1}$. Because $*'$ is a deformation of $*$, we have $*_\beta = * + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$, and thus, as $*^2 = \mathrm{id}$, $*_\beta \circ * = \mathrm{id} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$. $H^1(A, A) = 0$ implies that this algebra automorphisms is inner, $(g)^{*_\beta \circ *} = ugu^{-1}$ for some invertible $u \in A[[\hbar]]$. Thus, $g^{*_\beta} = (u^*)^{-1} g^* u^*$ for all $g \in A[[\hbar]]$. Since $*_\beta^2 = \mathrm{id}$, we have $(g^{*_\beta})^{*_\beta} = g = (u^*)^{-1} u g u^{-1} u^*$, so $u^{-1}u^* = u^* u^{-1}$ is central. Since $*_\beta$ is a deformation of $*$ we can choose u such that $u = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ and, thus, $u^{-1}u^* = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$, so the square roots of u^* and $u^{-1}u^*$ are defined and invertible. Define an isomorphism of algebras $\alpha : A' \to A[[\hbar]]$ by

$$\alpha(g) := (u^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}\, \beta(g)\, (u^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,. \tag{16}$$

Using that the square root of a central element is central, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g^{*_\alpha} &:= (\alpha \circ *' \circ \alpha^{-1})(g) = (u^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}\, (\beta \circ *' \circ \beta^{-1})\big[(u^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, g\, (u^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big]\, (u^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (u^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u^*)^{-1} (u)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^* (u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^* (u^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (u^{-1}u^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, g^*\, (u^{-1}u^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} = g^*\,, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

which shows that $\alpha : (A', *') \to (A[[\hbar]], *)$ is an isomorphism of $*$-algebras. ☐

This proposition applies in particular to the real forms of enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras. In fact, if $*$ is a $*$-structure on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $*'$ is a $*$-structure on $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, such that $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}), *')$ is a deformation of $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), *)$ as Hopf $*$-algebra, we can (and shall) always use an isomorphism of $*$-algebras $\alpha : (\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}), *') \to (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), *)$ to transfer the Hopf structure by Eqs. (12). In this case there are twists with particularly interesting properties with respect to the $*$-structure: We will call a twist unitary and real, respectively, if

$$\text{unitary:} \quad (* \otimes *)(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \tag{18a}$$

$$\text{real:} \quad (* \otimes *)(\mathcal{F}) = (S \otimes S)(\mathcal{F}_{21})\,. \tag{18b}$$

A twist which is both, unitary and real, is called orthogonal.

Proposition 2. *There is an orthogonal Drinfeld twist from Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$.*

Proof. In Theorem 4.1 of [17] it was shown that there is always a twist with $(S \otimes S)(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{21}^{-1}$. By assumption, the $*$-structure is a homomorphism of coalgebras for both, Δ and $\Delta_\hbar$. Thus, $(* \otimes *)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}) \equiv (\mathcal{F}^{-1})^*$ is also a twist, so $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^{-1})^* = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant and $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}\sqrt{\mathcal{T}}$ is another twist. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{F}'$ is unitary and real. $\square$

2.5 Real Structures on Star Products

The quantum spaces we want to consider here, the quantum plane and quantum Minkowski space, possess a real structure which is covariant with respect to a real form of the symmetry algebra. That is, $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), *)$ is a Hopf $*$-algebra and $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, *)$ is a module $*$-algebra, the action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathcal{X}$ and the $*$-structures satisfying Eq. (15). For a general twist Eq. (6) will not define a multiplication which is compatible with any real structure. Assume that we deform the product $\mu \to \mu_\hbar$ of the real covariant space $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, *)$ but not the $*$-structure. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(x \star y)^* &= [(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright x)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright y)]^* = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright y)^*(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright x)^* \\ &= ([S\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}]^* \triangleright y^*)([S\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]}]^* \triangleright x^*) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}_{[2]}[S\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}]^* \triangleright y)^* \star (\mathcal{F}_{[1]}[S\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]}]^* \triangleright x)^* \,, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

which shows that the undeformed $*$-structure is an antihomomorphism with respect to the deformed product, if $\mathcal{F}$ is chosen to be real in the sense of Eq. (18b), which was proved to be possible in Prop. 2. However, the undeformed $*$-structure of $\mathcal{X}$ will in general not satisfy the module $*$-algebra property (15). Thus, while Prop. 1 shows that the undeformed and deformed $*$-structures of the symmetry algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ can (and shall) be chosen to coincide, this is not possible for the $*$-structures of the deformed and undeformed space algebras. However, there is a unique element σ of the symmetry algebra which mediates the deformation $* \to *_\hbar$ of the real structure of $\mathcal{X}$ by $x^{*_\hbar} := \sigma^{-1} \triangleright x^*$. This element is characterized by

Proposition 3. *There is a unique element $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ such that*

$$\sigma = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)\,, \qquad S_\hbar(g) = \sigma(Sg)\sigma^{-1}\,, \qquad \Delta_\hbar(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma\,. \tag{20}$$

Moreover, $\sigma^* = \sigma$.

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ be the isomorphism of algebras which is used to define the deformed Hopf structure by Eqs. (12). Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_\hbar := (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\mathcal{R})\,, \tag{21}$$

such that $\mathcal{R}_\hbar$ becomes a universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix with respect to $\Delta_\hbar$. It was shown in Prop. 3.16 of [10] and Theorem 4.1 of [17] that there is a Drinfeld twist $\mathcal{F}$ from Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_\hbar = \mathcal{F}_{21} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\hbar}{2}(\Delta(C) - C\otimes 1 - 1\otimes C)} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (S\otimes S)(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}_{21}^{-1}\,, \tag{22}$$

with the canonical quadratic Casimir $C := g_i g_j K^{ij}$, where $\{g_i\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, $K_{ij} := \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad} g_i \operatorname{ad} g_j)$ is the Killing metric, and K^{ij} its inverse, $K^{ij}K_{jk} = \delta^i_k$.

Let σ_1^{-1} and σ_2 be defined for such a twist as in Eq. (14), and let $\sigma := \sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_2}$. Since $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ is central, so is its square root. Hence, $S_\hbar(g) = \sigma_1(Sg)\sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma_1\sqrt{\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2}(Sg)\sqrt{\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1}\sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma(Sg)\sigma^{-1}$.

From the second equation of (22) we deduce $S(\sigma_2) = \sigma_1^{-1}$, so $S(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$. From the first equation of (22) we compute $u := S_\hbar(\mathcal{R}_{\hbar[2]})\mathcal{R}_{\hbar[2]} = \sigma_1 S(\sigma_1^{-1})q^{-C} = \sigma_1\sigma_2 q^{-C} = \sigma^2 q^{-C}$. From the properties of universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrices it follows that $uS_\hbar(u^{-1}) = \sigma^4$ is group-like with respect to $\Delta_\hbar$, $\Delta_\hbar(\sigma_1^4) = \sigma_1^4 \otimes \sigma_1^4$. Since σ^4 is group-like, its fourth root σ is group-like, as well.

Now let $\sigma' = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ be another element with $S_\hbar(g) = \sigma'(Sg)\sigma'^{-1}$ which is group-like with respect to $\Delta_\hbar$. Then $z := \sigma'\sigma^{-1}$ is central and group-like, $\Delta_\hbar(z) = z\otimes z = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(z\otimes z)\mathcal{F} = \Delta(z)$, with respect to both coproducts. Since $z = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ there is an a such that $z = \mathrm{e}^{\hbar a}$. Since z is group-like and central a must be primitive, $\Delta(a) = a\otimes 1 + 1\otimes a$, and central in every order of $a = \sum_i \hbar^i a_i$. Being primitive, the a_i are elements of the Lie algebra, $a_i \in \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple it does not contain nontrivial central elements, so $a_i = 0$ for all i, that is, $a = 0$. Hence, $z = \sigma'\sigma^{-1} = 1$.

Clearly, $\sigma^* = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$. From $S_\hbar = * \circ S_\hbar^{-1} \circ *$ it follows that $S_\hbar(g) = \sigma^*(Sg)(\sigma^*)^{-1}$. Since σ is group-like with respect to $\Delta_\hbar$, so is σ^*. By uniqueness of an element with properties (20) we conclude that $\sigma^* = \sigma$. ☐

Now we can show, that σ realizes the deformation of the real structure in the promised manner:

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a twist from Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$ which is real in the sense of Eq.* (18b) *and let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu, *)$ be an $\hbar$-adic module $*$-algebra of $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta, \varepsilon, S, *)$. Define $\mu_\hbar$ as in Eq.* (6) *and $*_\hbar : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ by*

$$x^{*_\hbar} := \sigma^{-1} \triangleright x^* \tag{23}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where σ is the unique element of Prop. 3. Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mu_\hbar, *_\hbar)$ is a module $*$-algebra of $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_\hbar, \varepsilon_\hbar, S_\hbar, *)$

Proof. By construction, $*_\hbar$ is conjugate linear. We have to show that $*_\hbar$ is an algebra antihomomorphism. Writing $\mu_\hbar(x \otimes y) = x \star y$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(x \star y)^{*_\hbar} &= \sigma^{-1} \triangleright [(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright x)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright y)]^* = \sigma^{-1} \triangleright (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright y)^*(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright x)^* \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \triangleright ([S\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}]^* \triangleright y^*)([S\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]}]^* \triangleright x^*) = \sigma^{-1} \triangleright (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright y^*)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright x^*) \\
&= (\sigma^{-1}_{(1)}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]} \triangleright y^*)(\sigma^{-1}_{(2)}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} \triangleright x^*) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]}\sigma^{-1}_{(1_\hbar)} \triangleright y^*)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}\sigma^{-1}_{(2_\hbar)} \triangleright x^*) \\
&= (\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]}\sigma^{-1} \triangleright y^*)(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}\sigma^{-1} \triangleright x^*) = (\sigma^{-1} \triangleright y^*) \star (\sigma^{-1} \triangleright x^*) \\
&= (y^{*_\hbar}) \star (x^{*_\hbar}) \,, \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the module $*$-algebra condition (15), the assumed reality (18b) of $\mathcal{F}$, and that σ is group-like with respect to $\Delta_\hbar$. Since

$$(x^{*_\hbar})^{*_\hbar} = \sigma^{-1} \triangleright (\sigma^{-1} \triangleright x^*)^* = \sigma^{-1}(S\sigma^{-1})^* \triangleright x = \sigma^{-1}\sigma^* \triangleright x = x \qquad (25)$$

$*_\hbar$ is an involution. Finally, the module $*$-algebra condition (15) holds,

$$\begin{aligned}
(g \triangleright x)^{*_\hbar} &= \sigma^{-1} \triangleright (g \triangleright x)^* = \sigma^{-1}(Sg)^* \triangleright x^* = (\sigma^{-1})^*(Sg)^* \triangleright x^* \\
&= (S_\hbar g)^*(\sigma^{-1})^* \triangleright x^* = (S_\hbar g)^* \triangleright (\sigma^{-1} \triangleright x^*) = (S_\hbar g)^* \triangleright x^{*_\hbar} \,, \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the properties of σ from Prop. 3. □

2.6 Representations

The $\hbar$-adic representations of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ we are most interested in are those which are the $\hbar$-adic completion of the representations of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. If $D = (V, \rho)$ is a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module with complex vector space V and structure homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{End}_\mathbb{C}(V)$, its $\hbar$-adic completion $\bar{D} = (\bar{V}, \bar{\rho})$ is defined on $\bar{V} = V[[\hbar]]$ with an order by order extension of ρ, $\bar{\rho}(\sum_k \hbar^k g_k) := \sum_k \hbar^k \rho(g_k) \in \mathrm{End}_\mathbb{C}(V)[[\hbar]] = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}(V[[\hbar]])$. In particular, $\bar{V}$ is free over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and $\bar{\rho}$ is $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-linear. Note that, even if D is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ this is no longer true for $\bar{D}$. For example, $\hbar\bar{V}$ would be an invariant subspace of $\bar{V}$. $\bar{D}$ is irreducible only in the sense that there is no subspace $U \subset V$ such that $\bar{U} := U[[\hbar]]$ is an invariant subspace of $\bar{V}$.

Let $\{E_k, H_k, F_k \mid k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ be a Cartan-Weyl basis of the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Cartan subalgebra which is generated by $\{H_k\}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie subalgebra of the enveloping algebra, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$. By construction, the $\hbar$-adic Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ does contain the Cartan subalgebra as a Lie subalgebra, as well, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$. Drinfeld has shown, that the isomorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ and $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ can be chosen to leave the Cartan subalgebra invariant:

Theorem 2 (Drinfeld [14], Prop. 4.3). *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a semisimple Lie algebra and* $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ *a Cartan subalgebra. Then there exists an isomorphism of* $\hbar$*-adic algebras* $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ *such that* $\alpha = \mathrm{id} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ *and* $\alpha|_\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{id}_\mathfrak{h}$.

This has important consequences for the representation theory. Recall, that every finite dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a highest weight representation $D^j = (V^j, \rho^j)$, generated by a highest weight vector $v \in V^j$ with $\rho^j(E_k)v = 0$ and $\rho^j(H_k)v = j_k v$ for all k, where $j_k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, $j := (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ being called the highest weight. Furthermore, there is a basis of V^j which consists of simultaneous eigenvectors of H_k, called the weight basis. The same is true for the finite dimensional representations of the Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for a fixed value of q [18,19], the weight-j representation $D^j_q = (V^j, \rho^j_q)$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ being defined on the same weight basis as D^j. By the substitution $q \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\hbar}$, D^j_q can be extended to an $\hbar$-adic representation $D^j_\hbar := (V^j[[\hbar]], \rho^j_\hbar)$. Since, $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ are isomorphic as algebras, there is a bijection between their representations. Theorem 2 implies that the isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ can be chosen, such that

$$\rho^j_\hbar = \bar{\rho}^j \circ \alpha \,. \tag{27}$$

If both $\rho^j_\hbar$ and $\bar{\rho}^j$ are known this equation can be used to calculate the isomorphism α.

3 Constructing Covariant Star Products

3.1 The General Approach

As explained in Sec. 2.2 we are asking, if there are Drinfeld twists which implement the product of quantum spaces by Eq. 6. To our knowledge, no Drinfeld twist for the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie algebra has ever been computed. This suggests, that it will be rather difficult to answer this question on an algebraic level. The representations of Drinfeld twists, however, can be computed as we will demonstrate for $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ in Prop. 5. Therefore, we propose the following approach, which allows us to tackle the problem on a representation theoretic level:

Consider a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$-covariant quantum space algebra $\mathcal{X}_\hbar$ and its undeformed limit, the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-covariant space algebra $\mathcal{X}$.

1. Determine the irreducible highest weight representations of all possible Drinfeld twists from Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$.

2. Determine the basis $\{T^j_{m,k}\}$ of the quantum space $\mathcal{X}_\hbar$ which completely reduces $\mathcal{X}_\hbar$ into irreducible highest weight representations of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$\mathcal{X}_\hbar \cong \bigoplus_{j,k} \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}\{T^j_{m,k} \mid m \text{ weight of } D^j_\hbar\} \,, \tag{28}$$

such that $g \triangleright T^j_{m,k} = T^j_{m',k}\rho^j_\hbar(g)^{m'}{}_m$ for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, where m is a weight, j is the highest weight, $\rho^j_\hbar$ is the structure map of the $\hbar$-adic highest weight-j

representation $D^j_\hbar$ of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ as explained in Sec. 2.6, and where k labels the possibly degenerate highest weight-j subrepresentations.

3. Calculate the multiplication map $\mu_\hbar$ of $\mathcal{X}_\hbar$ with respect to this basis. The undeformed limit $T'^j_{m,k} := \lim_{\hbar\to 0} T^j_{m,k}$ then yields the basis which completely reduces the undeformed space algebra $\mathcal{X}$. The limit $\mu = \lim_{\hbar\to 0}\mu_\hbar$ is the commutative multiplication map with respect to this basis.
4. With respect to the basis $\{T'^{j_1}_{m_1,k_1} \otimes T'^{j_2}_{m_2,k_2}\}$ of $(\mathcal{X}\otimes\mathcal{X})[[\hbar]]$ the action of the twist is given by the highest weight representations $(\rho^{j_1}\otimes\rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F})$. Now we can check if one of the twists realizes the deformed multiplication map by Eq. (6) as linear operator with respect to this basis.

Since this procedure reduces the algebraic problem to a representation theoretic one, it works well for quantum spaces of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$, $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$, and $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ where the representation theory is well understood.

3.2 The Drinfeld Twists of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$

We now consider the case of $\mathfrak{g} = A_1$, the complex Lie algebra with Cartan-Weyl basis $\{E, H, F\}$ and relations $[H,E] = 2E$, $[H,F] = -2F$, $[E,F] = H$. The real form of A_1 which corresponds to the $*$-structure $E^* = F$, $H^* = H$, $F^* = E$ is su_2, the Lie algebra of the group of unitary 2×2-matrices.

Definition 1. *The complex $\hbar$-adic algebra generated by E, H, F with commutation relations*

$$[H,E] = 2E\,, \qquad [H,F] = -2F\,, \qquad [E,F] = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\hbar H} - \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar H}}{\mathrm{e}^{\hbar} - \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar}}\,, \tag{29}$$

Hopf structure

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta'(E) &= E\otimes \mathrm{e}^{\hbar H} + 1\otimes E\,, & S'(E) &= -E\mathrm{e}^{-\hbar H}\,, & \varepsilon'(E) &= 0 \\ \Delta'(F) &= F\otimes 1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar H}\otimes F\,, & S'(F) &= -\mathrm{e}^{\hbar H}F\,, & \varepsilon'(F) &= 0\,, \\ \Delta'(H) &= H\otimes 1 + 1\otimes H\,, & S'(H) &= -H\,, & \varepsilon'(H) &= 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and involution $E^{*'} = F\mathrm{e}^{\hbar H}$, $F^{*'} = \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar H}E$, $H^{*'} = H$ is called $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$, the $\hbar$-deformation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$ [20, 21]. It is quasitriangular with universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix [22]

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{e}^{\hbar(H\otimes H)/2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{\hbar n(n-1)/2}\frac{(\mathrm{e}^{\hbar}-\mathrm{e}^{-\hbar})^n}{[n]!}(E^n\otimes F^n)\,. \tag{31}$$

By construction, the commutation relations and Hopf $*$-structure maps of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ coincide in zeroth order of $\hbar$ with those of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ is a deformation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$ as Hopf $*$-algebra. The $\hbar$-adic deformation is obtained from the q-deformation by the substitutions $q = \mathrm{e}^{\hbar}$, $K = \mathrm{e}^{\hbar H}$, and $K^{-1} = \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar H}$. By the same substitution we obtain for each $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ the h-adic spin-j $*$-representation

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^j_\hbar(E)|j,m\rangle &= \mathrm{e}^{\hbar(m+1)}\sqrt{[j+m+1][j-m]}\,|j,m+1\rangle \\
\rho^j_\hbar(F)|j,m\rangle &= \mathrm{e}^{-\hbar m}\sqrt{[j+m][j-m+1]}\,|j,m-1\rangle \\
\rho^j_\hbar(H)|j,m\rangle &= 2m|j,m\rangle\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

on the $(2j+1)$-dimensional free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module $V^j[[\hbar]]$ with orthonormal weight basis $\{|j,m\rangle, m = -j, -j+1, \ldots, j\}$, which we denote by $D^j_\hbar := (V^j[[\hbar]], \rho^j_\hbar)$. Using the coproduct, tensor representations are constructed as

$$D^{j_1}_\hbar \otimes D^{j_2}_\hbar := \big(V^{j_1} \otimes V^{j_2}, \rho^{j_1\otimes j_2}_\hbar := (\rho^{j_1}_\hbar \otimes \rho^{j_2}_\hbar) \circ \Delta'\big) \tag{33}$$

and analogously for the undeformed case. The decomposition of such a tensor representation into its irreducible subrepresentations is the Clebsch-Gordan series

$$D^{j_1}_\hbar \otimes D^{j_2}_\hbar \cong D^{|j_1-j_2|}_\hbar \oplus D^{|j_1-j_2|+1}_\hbar \oplus \ldots \oplus D^{j_1+j_2}_\hbar\,. \tag{34}$$

Let us denote the embedding of the irreducible spin-j component into the tensor representation by $C^{j_1 j_2 j}_q$ and the projection onto this component by $(C^{j_1 j_2 j}_q)^{-1}$, such that

$$\rho^j_\hbar(g)\, C^{j_1 j_2 j}_q = C^{j_1 j_2 j}_q\, \rho^{j_1\otimes j_2}_\hbar(g) = C^{j_1 j_2 j}_q\, (\rho^{j_1}_\hbar \otimes \rho^{j_2}_\hbar)(\Delta' g) \tag{35}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$. Denoting the basis vectors of $D^{j_1}_\hbar \otimes D^{j_2}_\hbar$ by $|j_1,m_1;j_2,m_2\rangle$ and those of the irreducible spin-j subrepresentation by $|j,m\rangle$, the q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are defined as

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q := \langle j_1, m_1; j_2, m_2 | C^{j_1 j_2 j}_q | j, m\rangle\,. \tag{36}$$

The q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are not unique, because the basis vectors $|j,m\rangle$ are only determined up to a phase. We will follow the choice of [23], where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m_1,m_2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j' \\ m' \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q &= \delta_{mm'}\delta_{jj'} \\
\sum_{j,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m'_1 & m'_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q &= \delta_{m_1 m'_1}\delta_{m_2 m'_2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Clearly, the representation theory of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ is a deformation of the one of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$. In the limit $\hbar \to 0$ or, equivalently, $q \to 1$ of Eq. (36) we get back the undeformed Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Since $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)[[\hbar]]$ are isomorphic as $*$-algebras their representations are isomorphic, as well. Due to Theorem 2 we can choose the isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)[[\hbar]]$ as in Eq. (27) such that

$$\rho^j_\hbar = \rho^j \circ \alpha\,, \tag{38}$$

where $\rho^j : \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)[[\hbar]] \to \mathrm{End}(V^j)[[\hbar]]$ is the $\hbar$-adically extended structure map of the undeformed enveloping algebra (We omit the bar which denoted the $\hbar$-adic completion in Eq. (27)). If we set $g = \alpha^{-1}(g')$ in Eq. (35) we thus get

$$\rho^j(g')\, C_q^{j_1 j_2 j} = C_q^{j_1 j_2 j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\Delta_\hbar\, g') \tag{39}$$

for all $g' \in \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$, where $\Delta_\hbar$ is defined as in Eq. (12).

It is rather obvious that the representations of Drinfeld twists should be given by a contraction of the deformed and undeformed Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, as it was already mentioned in [24].

Proposition 5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a counital Drinfeld twist from $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$. The irreducible representations of $\mathcal{F}$ are of the form*

$$(\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F})^{m_1 m_2}{}_{m_1' m_2'} = \sum_{j,m} \eta(j_1, j_2, j) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1' & m_2' \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right), \tag{40}$$

where for given values of j_1, j_2, and j the factor $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ is a formal power series in $\hbar$ with $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$.

Proof. Within a $D^{j_1} \otimes D^{j_2}$ tensor representation we get for all $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} &C_q^{j_1 j_2 j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F})\, (C^{j_1 j_2 j'})^{-1} \rho^{j'}(g) \\ &\qquad = C_q^{j_1 j_2 j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F} \Delta g)(C^{j_1 j_2 j'})^{-1} \\ &\qquad = C_q^{j_1 j_2 j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})((\Delta_\hbar\, g)\mathcal{F})(C^{j_1 j_2 j'})^{-1} \\ &\qquad = \rho^j(g) C_q^{j_1 j_2 j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F})(C^{j_1 j_2 j'})^{-1}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where we have used Eq. (39) and the analogous relation for the undeformed case. Let us develop $\eta := C_q^{j_1 j_2 j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F})\, (C^{j_1 j_2, j'})^{-1}$ into an $\hbar$-adic series $\eta = \sum_k \hbar^k \eta_k$. Then Eq. (41) implies that each η_k is a module map from the spin-j' to the spin-j irreducible subrepresentation of the $D^{j_1} \otimes D^{j_2}$ tensor representation. By Schur's lemma each η_k must be zero for $j \neq j'$, while for $j = j'$ the η_k are $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-scalar multiples of the identity map id_{D^j}. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} C_q^{j_1 j_2, j}\, (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F})\, (C^{j_1 j_2, j'})^{-1} &= \eta(j_1, j_2, j)\, \delta_{j j'}\, \mathrm{id}_{D^j} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F}) &= \sum_j \eta(j_1, j_2, j) (C_q^{j_1 j_2, j})^{-1} C^{j_1 j_2, j}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where for given j_1, j_2, j, $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. Taking matrix elements of the last equation and using the definition (36) of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients yields Eq. (40). Finally, $\mathcal{F} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ implies $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$. ☐

The Drinfeld twist with the canonically simplest representations would be the one with $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) = 1$. Such a twist exists, indeed.

Proposition 6. *There is a unique Drinfeld twist $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$, called the standard twist, for which the irreducible representations of Prop. 5 are such that $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) = 1$ for all j_1, j_2, j.*

Proof. Define a scalar conjugation $u \mapsto \overline{u}$ on $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$ by extending the identity map on the Cartan-Weyl generators of su_2 to a conjugate linear automorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$, e.g., $\overline{\alpha EF} = \overline{\alpha} EF$ etc. Since both coproducts are real with respect to this conjugation, $\overline{\Delta(g)} = \Delta(\overline{g})$ and $\overline{\Delta_\hbar(g)} = \Delta_\hbar(\overline{g})$ the conjugation of Eq. (4) shows that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a counital Drinfeld twist, so is $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and, hence, $\mathcal{F}' := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F} + \overline{\mathcal{F}})$. In the representation (32) and its undeformed limit the Cartan-Weyl generators are represented by real matrices, thus, $\rho^j(\overline{g})^m{}_{m'} = \overline{\rho^j(g)^m{}_{m'}}$. We conclude that if $\eta(j_1, j_2, j) = \eta$ are the factors of the representations of $\mathcal{F}$, $\overline{\eta}$ are those of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\eta' = \eta + \overline{\eta}$ those of $\mathcal{F}'$. As in the proof of Prop. 2 the twist $\mathcal{F}'' := \mathcal{F}'(F'^{-1}(F'^*)^{-1})^{1/2}$ is unitary. The factors η'' of the representations of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are real because those of $\mathcal{F}'$ are real, and unitary $\overline{\eta''} = \eta''^{-1}$ because $\mathcal{F}''$ is unitary. Hence, $\eta = \eta(j_1, j_2, j) = 1$. Since any two $\mathcal{F}$ with the same representations are equal, $\mathcal{F}''$ is the unique standard twist. ☐

Proposition 7. *The standard twist $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is orthogonal.*

Proof. It was shown in the proof of Prop. 6 that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is unitary. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})\big((S \otimes S)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}})\big)^{m_1 m_2}{}_{m'_1 m'_2} &= \sum_{j,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ -m'_1 & -m'_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ -m_1 & -m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j,m} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_2 & j_1 \\ m_2 & m_1 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_2 & j_1 \\ m'_2 & m'_1 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q = (\rho^{j_1} \otimes \rho^{j_2})(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}21}^{-1})^{m_1 m_2}{}_{m'_1 m'_2}\,, \quad (43)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\rho^j(Sg)^m{}_{m'} = (-1)^{m-m'} \rho^j(g)^{-m'}{}_{-m}$ and that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_2 & j_1 \\ -m_2 & -m_1 \end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix} j \\ -m \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q$. We conclude that $(S \otimes S)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}21}^{-1} = (* \otimes *)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}21})$. ☐

3.3 The Quantum Plane

The quantum plane [5] is perhaps the simplest nontrivial example of a homogeneous quantum space. In analogy to the undeformed case, the generators x_- and x_+ are defined to carry the fundamental spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ representation of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$,

$$g \triangleright x_m := x_{m'} \rho_\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}(g)^{m'}{}_m\,, \quad (44)$$

where the indices run through $\{-, +\} = \{-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\}$ (summation over repeated upper and lower indices). We also denote the generators by $x \equiv x_-$ and $y \equiv x_+$. Let $\mathbb{C}\langle x_-, x_+\rangle[[\hbar]]$ be the free $\hbar$-adic algebra generated by x_- and x_+. By construction, an algebra which is freely generated by a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$-module is a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$-module algebra. The quadratic terms $x_{m_1} x_{m_2}$ thus carry a spin-$(\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2})$ tensor representation. If we want to divide the free algebra by quadratic relations in such a way that the quotient algebra is again a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$-module algebra we must divide by an ideal which is generated by a submodule of the representation $D_\hbar^{1/2} \otimes D_\hbar^{1/2} \cong D_\hbar^0 \oplus D_\hbar^1$ of all quadratic terms. Dividing by $D_\hbar^1$ would yield a deformation of the exterior algebra, whereas dividing by the scalar part $D_\hbar^0$ yields the desired deformation of the commutative algebra of functions on the 2-dimensional plane. This amounts to the commutation relations

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_q x_{m_1} x_{m_2} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad xy = qyx\,, \tag{45}$$

where $q = \mathrm{e}^\hbar$.

Definition 2. *The $\hbar$-adic algebra freely generated by $x \equiv x_-$ and $y \equiv x_+$ with commutation relations (45) is called the $\hbar$-adic quantum plane $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{C}^2)$.*

We now want to write the product of the quantum plane, $\mu_\hbar(x_1 \otimes x_2) := x_1 x_2$, explicitly as a linear map with respect to a basis. For our purposes, the appropriate choice is the basis which reduces the quantum plane as $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$-module into its irreducible subrepresentations. In order to find such a basis, we recall that, as in the undeformed case, finding the irreducible spin-j subrepresentations is the matter of finding the highest weight-j vectors, that is, the elements of $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{C}^2)$ which transform as $|j,j\rangle$ in Eqs. (32). A simple ansatz shows that, up to scalar multiples, the only element of the quantum plane with this property is x_+^{2j}. Acting on x_+^{2j} with the ladder operator F generates the other basis vectors of the $D_\hbar^j$-subrepresentation. Identifying in Eqs. (32) $|j,m\rangle$ with T^j_m, we have to define

$$T^j_m := q^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m)(2m-j+1)} \sqrt{\frac{[j+m]!}{[2j]![j-m]!}}\,(F^{j-m} \triangleright x_+^{2j}) \tag{46}$$

for $m \in \{-j, -j+1, \ldots, j\}$, such that

$$g \triangleright T^j_m = T^j_{m'} \rho^j_\hbar(g)^{m'}{}_m \tag{47}$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$. The basis $\{T^j_m\}$ then reduces the quantum plane into its irreducible subrepresentations, $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{C}^2) = D_\hbar^0 \oplus D_\hbar^{1/2} \oplus D_\hbar^1 \ldots$ Calculating Eq. (46) explicitly, yields

$$T^j_m = \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ j+m \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} x_-^{j-m} x_+^{j+m}\,, \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-2}} := q^{k(k-n)} \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]![k]!} \tag{48}$$

is the q-binomial coefficient. By construction, $T^{j_1}_{m_1} T^{j_2}_{m_2}$ carries a spin-$(j_1 \otimes j_2)$ tensor representation which can be reduced using the q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Hence, the elements

$$A^j_m := \sum_{m_1,m_2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right)_q T^{j_1}_{m_1} T^{j_2}_{m_2} \tag{49}$$

are either zero or the basis of a spin-j subrepresentation of $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Since T^j_m generates the only spin-j subrepresentation, A^j_m must be proportional to T^j_m. Moreover, because of its homogeneous commutation relations the algebra $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is graded. That is, the degree of the product of two homogeneous elements $T^{j_1}_{m_1}$ and $T^{j_2}_{m_2}$ is the sum of their degrees, $\deg(T^{j_1}_{m_1} T^{j_2}_{m_2}) = \deg(T^{j_1}_{m_1}) + \deg(T^{j_2}_{m_2}) = 2(j_1 + j_2)$. As A^j_m and T^j_m are proportional, they must have the same degree. Thus, A^j_m has to vanish unless $j = j_1 + j_2$. Looking at the highest weight vectors we find $A^{j_1+j_2}_{j_1+j_2} = x_+^{2(j_1+j_2)} = T^{j_1+j_2}_{j_1+j_2}$. Using the orthogonality relation (37) we can move the q-Clebsch-Gordon coefficients to the left hand side of Eq. (49). As end result, the multiplication map $\mu_\hbar$ of the quantum plane is given with respect to the basis $\{T^j_m\}$ by

$$\mu_\hbar(T^{j_1}_{m_1} \otimes T^{j_1}_{m_1}) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j_1+j_2 \\ m_1+m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q T^{j_1+j_2}_{m_1+m_2}\,. \tag{50}$$

For the undeformed limit of the basis $T'^j_m := \lim_{\hbar\to 0} T^j_m$ and multiplication map $\mu := \lim_{\hbar \to 0} \mu_\hbar$ one gets

$$T'^j_m = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2j \\ j+m \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x_-^{j-m} x_+^{j+m}\,, \quad \mu(T'^{j_1}_{m_1} \otimes T'^{j_1}_{m_1}) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j_1+j_2 \\ m_1+m_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) T'^{j_1+j_2}_{m_1+m_2} \tag{51}$$

Comparing Eqs. (50) and (51) with the representations (40) of the Drinfeld twists we obtain the following

Proposition 8. *Let* $\mu_\hbar$ *be the multiplication map* (50) *of the* $\hbar$*-adic quantum plane* $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{C}^2)$*,* $\mu = \lim_{\hbar\to 0} \mu_\hbar$ *its undeformed limit, and* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ *the standard twist of Prop. 6. Then* $\mu_\hbar$ *is the deformation* (6) *of* μ *by* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$*,* $\mu_\hbar(x \otimes y) = \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}^{-1} \triangleright [x \otimes y])$*.*

Finally, let us turn to real structures. Since according to Prop. 3.2 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is real in the sense of Eq. (18b), Prop. 4 applies. Within $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ we have $S'^2(g) = KgK^{-1}$ with $K = \mathrm{e}^{\hbar H}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$. Clearly, K is group-like, $\Delta'(K) = K \otimes K$. Recall that, in order for the representations of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)[[\hbar]]$ and $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ to be related by Eq. (38), we chose the isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)[[\hbar]]$ according to Theorem 2 such that $\alpha(H) = H$. On the one hand, $S_\hbar^2(g) = (\alpha \circ S'^2 \circ \alpha)(g) = KgK^{-1}$. On the other hand, $S_\hbar^2(g) = \sigma^2 g \sigma^{-2}$, for the unique element σ from Prop. 3. Hence, $K\sigma^{-2} = \sigma^{-2}K$ is central, so $S_\hbar(g) = K^{1/2}(Sg)K^{-1/2}$. Since $K^{1/2} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ is group-like the uniqueness of σ implies that $\sigma = K^{1/2} = \mathrm{e}^{\hbar H/2}$. Prop. 4 now tells us that for a given covariant real structure $*$ on the undeformed space algebra $\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{C}[x_-, x_+][[\hbar]]$ of the plane, we have to define the deformed $*$-structure $*_\hbar = * + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ by

$$x^{*_\hbar} = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\hbar H}{2}} \triangleright x^* \tag{52}$$

such that $(\mathcal{X}, \mu_\hbar, *_\hbar)$ becomes a module $*$-algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)[[\hbar]]$ with respect to the deformed Hopf structure.

3.4 The Quantum Lorentz Algebra

We recall the definition of quantum Euclidean algebra in 4 dimensions and the quantum Lorentz algebra.

Definition 3. *The tensor product Hopf* $*$*-algebra* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)\otimes\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ *is the* $\hbar$*-adic quantum enveloping algebra of* so_4*,* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$*. Let* $\mathcal{R}$ *be the universal* $\mathcal{R}$*-matrix* (31) *of* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$*. The Hopf algebra obtained by twisting* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ *with* $\mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1} = 1\otimes\mathcal{R}^{-1}\otimes 1$ *together with the* $*$*-structure*

$$(a\otimes b)^* = \mathcal{R}_{21}(b^*\otimes a^*)\mathcal{R}_{21}^{-1} \tag{53}$$

for $a,b\in\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ *is the* $\hbar$*-adic quantum Lorentz algebra* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ *[25].*

The tensor Hopf $*$-structure of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ is given by $\varepsilon^{\otimes 2} := \varepsilon\otimes\varepsilon$, $S^{\otimes 2} := S\otimes S$, $\Delta^{\otimes 2} := \tau_{23}\circ(\Delta\otimes\Delta)$, where τ is the flip of the tensor factors, $\tau(a\otimes b) = b\otimes a$, and $*^{\otimes 2} := *\otimes *$. Looking at Cor. 1, (v) for the twist $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}$ we find that the coassociator is the unit, $\Phi = 1$. Twists with unital coassociator are 2-cocycles in the sense of [16]. The cocycle property guarantees that the twisted coproduct is coassociative. For the antipode $S(a\otimes b) = \sigma_1(Sa\otimes Sb)\sigma_1^{-1}$ we have to compute $\sigma_1^{-1} = S(\mathcal{F}_{[1]}^{-1})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}^{-1} = (1\otimes S\mathcal{R}_{[1]})(\mathcal{R}_{[2]}\otimes 1) = \mathcal{R}_{21}^{-1}$. Whence, the Hopf structure of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ reads explicitly

$$\Delta(a\otimes b) = \mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}\Delta^{\otimes 2}(a\otimes b)\mathcal{R}_{23}\,, \quad S(a\otimes b) = \mathcal{R}_{21}(Sa\otimes Sb)\mathcal{R}_{21}^{-1}\,, \tag{54}$$

while according to Cor. 1, (ii) the counit stays undeformed.

In the undeformed case so_4 and $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are real forms of the same complex Lie algebra $A_1\otimes A_1$, that is, $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{so}_4)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ differ only by their $*$-structure, whereas in the q-deformed case the Hopf structures differ, as well. The reason for introducing the twist in the Hopf structure of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is that only then the quantum Lorentz algebra contains a Hopf $*$-subalgebra of rotations, embedded by the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$, which is an essential feature for its physical interpretation. The $*$-structure of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is not a twist of the product $*$-structure of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$, but of the flipped $*$-structure $\tau\circ(*\otimes *)$. While Eq. (53) clearly defines an algebra antihomomorphism, the involution property $*^2 = \mathrm{id}$ relies on the additional property $\mathcal{R}^{*\otimes *} = \mathcal{R}_{21}$ of the $\mathcal{R}$-matrix of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$.

The twisting from $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ can be extended to Drinfeld twists and module algebras.

Corollary 2. *Let* $\mathcal{F}'$ *and* $\mathcal{F}''$ *be Drinfeld twists from* $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{su}_2)$ *to* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$*,* $\mathcal{R}$ *the universal* $\mathcal{R}$*-matrix of* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$*,* $\mathcal{X}$ *an* $\hbar$*-adic* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)\otimes\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$*-module.*

(i) $\mathcal{F}'_{13}\mathcal{F}''_{24}$ *is a Drinfeld twist of from* $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{so}_4)$ *to* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$*.*

(ii) $\mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'_{13}\mathcal{F}''_{24}$ *is a Drinfeld twist of from* $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ *to* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$*.*

(iii) *If* $(\mathcal{X},\mu)$ *is a module algebra of* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ *with multiplication map* μ*, then* $(\mathcal{X},\tilde{\mu})$ *with the twisted multiplication defined as* $\tilde{\mu}(x\otimes y) := \mu(\mathcal{R}_{23}\triangleright[x\otimes y])$ *is a module algebra of* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$*.*

(iv) *If* $(\mathcal{X},\mu,*)$ *is a module* $*$*-algebra of the Hopf algebra* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ *with flipped* $*$*-structure* $\tau\circ(*\otimes *)$ *then* $(\mathcal{X},\tilde{\mu},*)$ *is a module* $*$*-algebra of* $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$*.*

Proof. (i) $\mathcal{F}'_{13}\mathcal{F}''_{24} = \tau_{23}(\mathcal{F}'\otimes\mathcal{F}'')$ is clearly a tensor product twist of the tensor coproduct $\Delta^{\otimes 2} = \tau_{23}\circ(\Delta\otimes\Delta)$

(ii) Twisting in two steps by $\mathcal{F}'_{13}\mathcal{F}''_{24}$ from $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathrm{so}_4)$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ and then by $\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{23}$ from $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is the same as twisting at once by $\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{23}\mathcal{F}'_{13}\mathcal{F}''_{24}$ from $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

(iii) Note, that $\tilde{\mu}$ is the twisted multiplication (6) for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R}^{-1}_{23}$. All we have to check is associativity. The defining properties of a universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix imply that the Drinfeld coassociator (10) is equal to the unit. Hence, Eq. (11) is trivially satisfied.

(iv) Let us denote the flipped $*$ structure by $*_\tau := \tau\circ(*\otimes *)$. We verify that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R}^{-1}_{23}$ is real in the sense of Eq. (18b),

$$\begin{aligned}(S^{\otimes 2}\otimes S^{\otimes 2})(\mathcal{F}) &= 1\otimes(S\otimes S)(\mathcal{R}^{-1})\otimes 1 = 1\otimes(\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{21})^{*\otimes *}\otimes 1\\ &= (\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{[2]}\otimes 1)^{*_\tau}\otimes(1\otimes\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{[1]})^{*_\tau} = (*_\tau\otimes *_\tau)(\mathcal{F}_{21})\,,\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

where we have used $(S\otimes S)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{*\otimes *} = \mathcal{R}_{21}$. Eq. (19) shows that the $*$-structure of $\mathcal{X}$ is an antihomomorphism with respect to both multiplications, μ and $\tilde{\mu}$. Then we have to check Eq. (15). Denoting by $S_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ and $*_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ the antipode and $*$-structure of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}[S_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}(a\otimes b)]^{*_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}} &= [\mathcal{R}_{21}(Sa\otimes Sb)\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{21}]^{*_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}}\\ &= \mathcal{R}_{21}[(\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{21}((Sb)^*\otimes(Sa)^*)\mathcal{R}_{21})]\mathcal{R}^{-1}_{21}\\ &= (Sb)^*\otimes(Sa)^* = [S^{\otimes 2}(a\otimes b)]^{*_\tau}\,,\end{aligned}\tag{56}$$

whence, $[(a\otimes b)\triangleright x]^* = [S^{\otimes 2}(a\otimes b)]^{*_\tau}\triangleright x^* = [S_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}(a\otimes b)]^{*_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}}\triangleright x^*$. ☐

3.5 Quantum Minkowski Space

Quantum Minkowski space $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ is a noncommutative deformation of the function algebra on real world 1+3-dimensional spacetime [7]. By definition, $\mathcal{X}_\hbar(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ is the $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$-module algebra whose generators carry the fundamental representation. It was shown in Cor. 2 that any $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$-module algebra is the twist of a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$-module algebra. We will first compute the multiplication map of this $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$-module algebra, quantum Euclidean 4-space, and then twist it to obtain the multiplication map of quantum Minkowski space.

Because $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$ is the product Hopf algebra of two $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$, any irreducible representation is the product of two irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$,

$$D_\hbar^{(j,j')} := (V^j \otimes V^{j'}, \rho_\hbar^j \otimes \rho_\hbar^{j'})\,. \tag{57}$$

The generators $X_{mm'}$ of the quantum Euclidean 4-space are defined to carry the fundamental spin-$(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ representation,

$$(g \otimes g') \triangleright X_{mm'} = X_{\tilde{m}\tilde{m}'}\, \rho_\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}(g)^{\tilde{m}}{}_m\, \rho_\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}(g')^{\tilde{m}'}{}_{m'}\,, \tag{58}$$

where the indices run through $\{-,+\} = \{-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\}$. Using Eq. (34), the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of this representation reads

$$D_\hbar^{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} \otimes D_\hbar^{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} = D_\hbar^{(0,0)} \oplus D_\hbar^{(1,0)} \oplus D_\hbar^{(0,1)} \oplus D_\hbar^{(1,1)}\,. \tag{59}$$

The subrepresentation by which we have to divide the free algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X_{mm'}\rangle[[\hbar]]$ for the right noncommutative limit as $\hbar \to 0$ is $D_\hbar^{(1,0)} \oplus D_\hbar^{(0,1)}$. This corresponds to the quadratic relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1/2 & 1/2\\ m_1 & m_2\end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix}1\\ m\end{smallmatrix}\right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1/2 & 1/2\\ m'_1 & m'_2\end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 0\end{smallmatrix}\right)_q X_{m_1m'_1}X_{m_2m'_2} &= 0\\
\sum_{m_1,m_2,m'_1,m'_2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1/2 & 1/2\\ m_1 & m_2\end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 0\end{smallmatrix}\right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1/2 & 1/2\\ m'_1 & m'_2\end{smallmatrix}\middle|\begin{smallmatrix}1\\ m\end{smallmatrix}\right)_q X_{m_1m'_1}X_{m_2m'_2} &= 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where m runs through $\{-1,0,1\}$. Denoting the generators by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{smallmatrix}\right) := (X_{mm'})$, i.e., $d = X_{++}$ etc., relations (60) read

$$\begin{gathered}
ab = qba\,, \quad ac = qca\,, \quad bd = qdb\,, \quad cd = qdc\\
bc = cb\,, \quad ad - da = (q - q^{-1})bc\,,
\end{gathered} \tag{61}$$

which are the well known relations of the algebra of 2×2 quantum matrices [26]. The quantum determinant

$$\det{}_q := ad - qbc\,, \tag{62}$$

is scalar, $(g \otimes g') \triangleright \det_q = \varepsilon(g \otimes g') \det_q$, and commutes with all generators.

Definition 4. *The $\hbar$-adic algebra freely generated by $\{a,b,c,d\}$ with commutation relations* (61) *is called the $\hbar$-adic quantum Euclidean 4-space or the $\hbar$-adic algebra of 2×2 quantum matrices $M_\hbar(2)$.*

Quantum Euclidean 4-space and $M_q(2)$ are the same algebras, for $SU_\hbar(2) := M_\hbar(2)/\langle \det_q = 1\rangle$ is Hopf dual to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ in the sense of [27], which implies that the comodule algebras of $SU_\hbar(2)$ are the module algebras of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$. In fact, let Δ be the coproduct of $M_\hbar(2)$, $\Delta(X_{ik}) = \sum_j X_{ij} \otimes X_{jk}$, let $T : M_\hbar(2) \to$

$M_\hbar(2)$ be the transposition homomorphism which is defined on the generators by $(X_{ij})^T := X_{ji}$, let $\pi : M_\hbar(2) \to M_\hbar(2)/\langle \det_q = 1 \rangle$ be the canonical epimorphism, $U^i{}_j := \pi(X_{ij})$ the generators of $SU_\hbar(2)$, and τ the flip of tensor factors. Then the map $\varphi : M_\hbar(2) \to M_\hbar(2) \otimes SU_\hbar(2) \otimes SU_\hbar(2)$ defined as

$$\varphi := [\mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi \circ T) \otimes \pi] \circ \tau_{12} \circ \Delta^{(2)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(X_{ij}) = X_{i'j'} \otimes U^{i'}{}_i \otimes U^{j'}{}_j \tag{63}$$

is a homomorphism of algebras because it is a concatenation of homomorphisms, and a corepresentation because $\Delta(U^i{}_k) = U^i{}_j \otimes U^j{}_k$. Hence, $M_\hbar(2)$ together with φ is a comodule algebra of $SO_\hbar(4) := SU_\hbar(2) \otimes SU_\hbar(2)$. The dual of this coaction (63) is the action (58) by which $M_q(2)$ becomes a $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{so}_4)$-module algebra.

A simple ansatz shows, that the only homogeneous highest weight vectors of $M_\hbar(2)$ are proportional to $\det_q^k d^l$. In analogy to the quantum plane, we have to define the minimal degree irreducible weight vectors by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(j,j)}_{mm'} &:= q^{\frac{1}{2}[(j-m)(2m-j+1)+(j-m')(2m'-j+1)]} \\ &\qquad \times \sqrt{\frac{[j+m]![j+m']!}{[2j]!^2[j-m]![j-m']!}}\, [(F^{j-m} \otimes F^{j-m'}) \triangleright d^{2j}]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, such that they carry a spin-(j,j) representation,

$$(g \otimes g') \triangleright T^{(j,j)}_{mm'} = T^{(j,j)}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{m}'}\, \rho^j_\hbar(g)^{\tilde{m}}{}_m\, \rho^j_\hbar(g')^{\tilde{m}'}{}_{m'}\,. \tag{65}$$

The explicit calculation of Eq. (64) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(j,j)}_{mm'} = \sum_k q^{k(m'-m-k)} \begin{bmatrix} j-m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-2}} \begin{bmatrix} j+m' \\ j+m'-k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ j+m \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{q^{-2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ j+m' \end{bmatrix}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{q^{-2}} \\ \times a^{j-m-k} b^k c^{m-m'+k} d^{j+m'-k}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

which reduces $M_\hbar(2)$ into irreducible subrepresentations by

$$M_\hbar(2) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0/2} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]}\{\det_q^k T^{(j,j)}_{mm'} \,|\, m, m' = -j, \ldots, j\}\,. \tag{67}$$

Note that mapping this equation by the canonical epimorphism π onto $SU_\hbar(2)$ yields the quantum Peter-Weyl decomposition of $SU_\hbar(2)$ (see [23], Sec. 4.2.5). As we argued for the quantum plane, the reduction of the product of two irreducible weight vectors with q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients must again be an irreducible weight vector of the same degree as the product,

$$\sum_{m_1,m_2,m_1',m_2'} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right)_q \left(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1' & j_2' \\ m_1' & m_2' \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j' \\ m' \end{smallmatrix} \right)_q T^{(j_1,j_1)}_{m_1m_1'} T^{(j_2,j_2)}_{m_2m_2'} = \delta_{jj'} \beta_{j_1j_2j} \det_q^{j_1+j_2-j} T^{(j,j)}_{mm'} \tag{68}$$

where the $\beta_{j_1j_2j} \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ are $\hbar$-adic scalar coefficients. These coefficients can be easily computed by applying the counit ε of $M_\hbar(2)$, for which we have

$$\varepsilon(T^{(j,j)}_{mm'}) = \delta_{mm'}\,, \qquad \varepsilon(\det_q) = 1\,, \tag{69}$$

to Eq. (68). This yields $\beta_{j_1 j_2 j} = 1$ for all j_1, j_2, j. Finally, moving the q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients to the other side of Eq. (68) produces the desired expression for the product $\mu_\hbar$ of $M_\hbar(2)$,

$$\mu_\hbar\big(T^{(j_1,j_1)}_{m_1 m_1'} \otimes T^{(j_2,j_2)}_{m_2 m_2'}\big) = \sum_{m,m',j} \big(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 \end{smallmatrix} \big| \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \big)_q \big(\begin{smallmatrix} j_1' & j_2' \\ m_1' & m_2' \end{smallmatrix} \big| \begin{smallmatrix} j \\ m' \end{smallmatrix} \big)_q \det\nolimits_q^{j_1+j_2-j} \, T^{(j,j)}_{mm'} \,. \tag{70}$$

Again, we compare this with the representations (40) and (43) of the Drinfeld twists of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ and obtain

Proposition 9. *Let $\mu_\hbar$ be the multiplication map* (70) *of $\hbar$-adic Euclidean 4-space $M_\hbar(2)$, $\mu = \lim_{\hbar\to 0} \mu_\hbar$ its undeformed limit, and $\mathcal{F}_\mathrm{s}$ the standard twist of Prop. 6. Then $\mu_\hbar$ is the deformation* (6) *of μ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{so}_4} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}13}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}24}$.*

Now we can apply Cor. 2 in order to obtain the the multiplication map and twist of quantum Minkowski space, twisting once more by $\mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}$. Of course, the multiplication map of quantum Minkowski space will reproduce the well known commutation relations of [7]. For the twist we get

Proposition 10. *Let $\mu_\hbar$ be the multiplication map of $\hbar$-adic quantum Minkowski space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, $\mu = \lim_{\hbar\to 0} \mu_\hbar$ its undeformed limit, and $\mathcal{F}_\mathrm{s}$ the standard twist of Prop. 6. Then $\mu_\hbar$ is the deformation* (6) *of μ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})} := \mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}13}\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{s}24}$.*

Finally, we consider real structures. Since $\mathcal{F}_\mathrm{s}$ is real in the sense of Eq. (18b), so is $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{so}_4}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ is the twist of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{so}_4}$ by $\mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}$, which was shown to be real in the proof of Cor. 2, (iv), $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ is real, as well. Hence, Prop. 4 applies to both, quantum Euclidean 4-space and quantum Minkowski space. From a reasoning which is completely analogous to the one that led to Eq. (52) we conclude that the deformation $*_\hbar = * + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ of the real structure $*$ of the undeformed Minkowski spacetime algebra $\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ has to be defined by

$$x^{*_\hbar} = (\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\hbar H}{2}} \otimes \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\hbar H}{2}}) \triangleright x^* \tag{71}$$

such that $(\mathcal{X}, \mu_\hbar, *_\hbar)$ becomes a module $*$-algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))[[\hbar]]$ with respect to the deformed Hopf structure.

4 Conclusion

It is possible to use Drinfeld twists in order to realize quantum spaces as covariant star products. We have shown this for three important examples, the quantum plane (Prop. 8), quantum Euclidean 4-space (Prop. 9), and quantum Minkowski space (Prop. 10). While it was known that the Drinfeld twists control the deformation of enveloping algebras into quantum enveloping algebras, it is now clear that certain twists also control the deformation of spaces into quantum spaces.

This is not unexpected, since the covariance condition of the action of a symmetry on a space algebra ties the Hopf structure of the symmetry algebra closely to the multiplicative structure of the space algebra. Our considerations included real structures of quantum enveloping algebras and quantum spaces. In Prop. 4 we have formulated a sufficient condition on the Drinfeld twist, its reality in the sense of Eq. (18b), to be compatible with the real structure of a quantum space, and we have shown that there is a unique element σ of the enveloping algebra which implements the deformation of the real structure.

Star products are often defined by identifying the vector spaces of two space algebras $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_\hbar$ by an vector space isomorphism, $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}_\hbar$, and transferring the multiplication by $x \star y := \varphi^{-1}[\varphi(x)\varphi(y)]$. The Moyal-Weyl product is an example of this procedure. The linear isomorphism φ is called an ordering prescription, because it defines how an ordered monomial of the commutative algebra $\mathcal{X}$ has to be represented in the noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{X}_\hbar$. For example $\varphi(xy) = \frac{1}{2}[\hat{x}\hat{y} + \hat{y}\hat{x}]$, where $\hat{x} := \varphi(x)$, $\hat{y} := \varphi(y)$, for the symmetric ordering. The star product which is obtained by the standard twist of Prop. 8 amounts to the ordering prescription which identifies the basis vectors which completely reduce the space and the quantum space respectively into its irreducible subrepresentations, which is a natural ordering in the context of representation theory. For the quantum plane this is almost the lexicographic (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt) ordering,

$$\varphi(x^k y^l) = \begin{bmatrix} k+l \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \binom{k+l}{k}^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^k y^l \,, \tag{72}$$

where we recall that $x \equiv x_-$, $y \equiv x_+$. The basis of the spin-j subrepresentation is unique up to scalar multiples. A rescaling $T^j_m \mapsto \beta(j) T^j_m$ with $\beta(j) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ would change the multiplication map by multiplying the right hand side of Eq. (50) with $\beta(j)\beta^{-1}(j_1)\beta^{-1}(j_2)$. Identifying the scale factors with the representations $\beta(j) = \rho^j(z)$ of some invertible central element z, the twist which realizes the star product must be redefined by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(z \otimes z)\Delta z^{-1}$. We conclude that the twist of Prop. 8 which realizes the star product of the quantum plane is unique up to a central 2-coboundary. The standard twist is the unique twist with the additional property $y^{\star n} = y^n$. An analogous statement is true for quantum Euclidean 4-space and quantum Minkowski space.

To our knowledge, no Drinfeld twist for the Drinfeld-Jimbo deformation of a semisimple Lie algebra had so far been computed explicitly (see [15] for the Heisenberg algebra). We have circumvented this problem by reducing the algebraic questions to representation theoretic ones. Although $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathrm{su}_2)$ is the simplest case conceivable, an algebraic order by order calculation of the twist runs quickly into overwhelming combinatorial problems [28]. An alternative approach would be the reconstruction of the twist from its representations, which would profit from the computational effort that has gone into the calculation of the q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

A Appendix

Proof of Corollary 1. Let throughout the proof $g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ be an arbitrary element of the $\hbar$-adic enveloping algebra.

(i) $\mathcal{F}\Delta(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \Delta_\hbar(g) = \mathcal{F}'\Delta(g)\mathcal{F}'^{-1}$ implies that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}'$ commutes with $\Delta(g)$ for all g. Conversely, let $\mathcal{T}\Delta(g)\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \Delta(g)$. Then $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}\Delta(g)\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}\Delta(g)\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \Delta_\hbar(g)$ for all g.

(ii) By the left counit property of $\varepsilon_\hbar$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(g) &= \varepsilon\big(\varepsilon_\hbar(g_{(1_\hbar)})g_{(2_\hbar)}\big) = \varepsilon\big(\varepsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}\,\varepsilon_\hbar(g_{(1)})g_{(2)}\,\varepsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1']})\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2']}\big)\\ &= \varepsilon_\hbar(g_{(1)})\varepsilon(g_{(2)})\,\varepsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[2]})\varepsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1']})\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2']}) = \varepsilon_\hbar\big(g_{(1)}\varepsilon(g_{(2)})\big) = \varepsilon_\hbar(g). \quad (73)\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let us define the left and right counit constraints by $l := \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}$ and $r := \mathcal{F}_{[1]}\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[2]})$. From the left counit property of $\varepsilon_\hbar = \varepsilon$ it follows that $g = \varepsilon(g_{(1_\hbar)})g_{(2_\hbar)} = \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}\,\varepsilon(g_{(1)})g_{(2)}\,\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1']})\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2']} = lgl^{-1}$ and analogously for the right counit property $g = rgr^{-1}$. Hence, $\mathcal{T} := \varepsilon(l)(r^{-1}\otimes l^{-1})$ is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant. By (i) $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$ is a twist with $l' := \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}'_{[1]})\mathcal{F}'_{[2]} = \varepsilon(l)\varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[1]}r^{-1})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}l^{-1} = \varepsilon(lr^{-1})ll^{-1} = 1$, where we have used $\varepsilon(l) = \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_{[1]}\mathcal{F}_{[2]}) = \varepsilon(r)$. Analogously, we find that $r' = 1$. Since $\mathcal{F}'$ is invertible, $\mathcal{F}' = \beta 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ for some complex number $\beta \neq 0$, and $1 = l' = \beta + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ it follows that $\beta = 1$.

(iv) Define $\sigma_1 := S_\hbar(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}S_\hbar(g)\sigma_1 &= S_\hbar(g_{(1)})\sigma_1 g_{(2)}S(g_{(3)}) = S_\hbar(\mathcal{F}_{[1]}g_{(1)(1)})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}g_{(1)(2)}S(g_{(2)})\\ &= S_\hbar(g_{(1)(1_\hbar)}\mathcal{F}_{[1]})g_{(1)(2_\hbar)}\mathcal{F}_{[2]}S(g_{(2)}) = S_\hbar(\mathcal{F}_{[1]})\varepsilon(g_{(1)})\mathcal{F}_{[2]}S(g_{(2)}) = \sigma_1 S(g)\,, \quad (74)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the left coinverse property of $S_\hbar$. Analogously, defining $\sigma_2^{-1} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]}S_\hbar(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]})$ and using the right coinverse property of $S_\hbar$ we get $\sigma_2^{-1}S_\hbar(g) = S(g)\sigma_2^{-1}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is invertible, so are σ_1 and σ_2^{-1}. Thus, $S_\hbar$ and S are related by the inner automorphisms $\sigma_1 S(g)\sigma_1^{-1} = S_\hbar(g) = \sigma_2 S(g)\sigma_2^{-1}$. The antipode is surjective, so $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ must be central. Moreover, $(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)(\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}) = \sigma_1(\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2)\sigma_2^{-1} = 1$, hence, $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 = \sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}$. Finally,

$$\sigma_1^{-1} = \sigma_1^{-1}S_\hbar(\mathcal{F}_{[1']}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}_{[2']}\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} = \sigma_1^{-1}S_\hbar(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]})\sigma_1\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]} = S(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[1]})\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{[2]}\,, \quad (75)$$

and, analogously, $\sigma_2 = \mathcal{F}_{[1]}S(\mathcal{F}_{[2]})$.

(v) From the coassociativity of $\Delta_\hbar$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\big[(\Delta_\hbar\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta_\hbar\big](g) &= \mathcal{F}_{12}(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F})\,(\Delta^{(2)}g)\,(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}_{12}^{-1}\\ &= \big[(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta_\hbar)\circ\Delta_\hbar\big](g) = \mathcal{F}_{23}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\mathcal{F})\,(\Delta^{(2)}g)\,(\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(\mathcal{F}^{-1})\mathcal{F}_{23}^{-1}\,. \quad (76)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the coassociator (10) commutes with all $\Delta^{(2)}g$.

(vi) Since every bialgebra isomorphism is automatically a Hopf algebra isomorphism and since by (ii) the counit stays undeformed, we only have to consider the coproducts. Let Δ and $\Delta_\hbar$ be related by a twist $\mathcal{F} = (u \otimes u)\Delta u^{-1}$. Then $g \mapsto ugu^{-1}$ maps Δ to $\Delta_\hbar$. Conversely, assume that the two Hopf structures are isomorphic, so there is an algebra automorphism β with $\Delta_\hbar = (\beta \otimes \beta) \circ \Delta \circ \beta^{-1}$. Since $\Delta_\hbar = \Delta + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ we can choose $\beta = \mathrm{id} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple we have $H^1(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$, which implies that this automorphism is inner, $\beta(g) = ugu^{-1}$. $\square$

References

[1] M. Gerstenhaber, *On the deformation of rings and algebras*, Ann. Math. **79**, 59 (1964).

[2] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation Theory and Quantization. I. Deformations of Symplectic Structures*, Ann. Phy. (NY) **111**, 61 (1978).

[3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, JHEP **09**, 032 (1999), hep-th/9908142.

[4] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, and J. Wess, *Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces*, Eur. Phys. J. **C16**, 161 (2000), hep-th/0001203.

[5] Y. I. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Non-Commutative Geometry* (Centre de Recherche Mathématiques, Montréal, 1988).

[6] L. D. Faddeev, N. Y. Reshetikhin, and L. A. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1**, 193 (1990).

[7] U. Carow-Watamura, M. Schlieker, M. Scholl, and S. Watamura, *Tensor Representation of the Quantum Group $SL_q(2)$ and Quantum Minkowski Space*, Z. Phys. **C48**, 159 (1990).

[8] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf Algebras and the Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **32**, 254 (1985).

[9] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10**, 63 (1985).

[10] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quasi-Hopf Algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1**, 1419 (1990).

[11] H. Grosse, J. Madore, and H. Steinacker, *Field theory on the q-deformed fuzzy sphere. II: Quantization*, (2001), hep-th/0103164.

[12] F. Bonechi, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace, and M. Tarlini, *Deformation quantization of the Heisenberg group*, Commun. Math. Phys. **169**, 627 (1995), hep-th/9312129.

[13] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups* (Springer, 1994).

[14] V. G. Drinfeld, *On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1**, 321 (1990).

[15] G. Fiore, *Drinfeld twist and q-deforming maps for Lie group covariant Heisenberg algebrae*, Rev. Math. Phys. **12**, 327 (2000), q-alg/9708017.

[16] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995).

[17] J. Donin and S. Shnider, *Cohomological Construction of Quantized Universal Enveloping Algebras*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **349**, 1611 (1997).

[18] G. Lusztig, *Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras*, Adv. Math. **70**, 237 (1988).

[19] M. Rosso, *Finite dimensional representations of the quantum analog of the enveloping algebra of a complex simple lie algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **117**, 581 (1988).

[20] P. P. Kulish and N. Y. Reshetikhin, *Quantum linear problem for the Sine-Gordon equation and higher representations*, J. Sov. Math. **23**, 2435 (1983).

[21] E. K. Sklyanin, *On an Algebra Generated by Quadratic Relations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **40**, 214 (1985).

[22] V. G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, edited by A. M. Gleason, pp. 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.

[23] A. Klymik and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations* (Springer, 1997).

[24] T. L. Curtright, G. I. Ghandour, and C. K. Zachos, *Quantum Algebra Deforming Maps, Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients, Coproducts, U and R Matrices*, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 676 (1991).

[25] S. Majid, *Braided momentum in the q-Poincare group*, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 2045 (1993), hep-th/9210141.

[26] S. L. Woronowicz, *Twisted SU(2) group: An Example of a noncommutative differential calculus*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto **23**, 117 (1987).

[27] M. Takeuchi, *The #-product of group sheave extensions applied to Long's theory of dimodule algebras*, Algebra-Berichte **34** (1977).

[28] L. Dąbrowski, F. Nesti, and P. Siniscalco, *On the Drinfeld twist for quantum* $sl(2)$, q-alg/9610012.